

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,331	03/02/2004	George L. Butzer	GLB-002	1846
26654 7	590 08/16/2005		EXAMINER	
DANE C. BUTZER			TWEEL JR, JOHN ALEXANDER	
870 HIGH STREET, SUITE 104 WORTHINGTON, OH 43085			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	on, on		2636	

DATE MAILED: 08/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/791,331	BUTZER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		John A. Tweel, Jr.	2636				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUN	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re b. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	02 March 2004					
· · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	-	` '				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor The oath or declaration is objected to by the			. ,			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB or No(s)/Mail Date 3/2/04.		ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,331 Page 2

Art Unit: 2636

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 1, Line
- 10: The Patent number for this application is now known and should be included.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,747,574. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the applied claims do not contain the whole of the

Application/Control Number: 10/791,331

Art Unit: 2636

patented claims' subject matter, thereby rendering these claims broader than the

patented claims.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John A. Tweel, Jr. whose telephone number is 571 272

2969. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jeff Hofsass can be reached on 571 272 2981. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAT 8/11/05

PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 3